UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No. 96	-6741	-		
HAROLD L. WALLER, JR.,			Dlain	⊢ :	7
versus			PIAIII	CIII - 1	Appellant,
DAVID MOORE, Sergeant,					
			Defe	ndant -	Appellee.
Appeal from the United Statrict of Virginia, at Ric District Judge. (CA-95-35	chmond.				
Submitted: July 25, 1996			Decided:	August	12, 1996
Before LUTTIG and MOTZ, Circuit Judge.	Circuit	Judge	es, and	PHILLIF	S, Senior
Dismissed by unpublished	per curi	am opi	nion.		
Harold L. Waller, Jr., Ap	pellant ————	Pro Se	-		
Unpublished opinions are See Local Rule 36(c).	not bin	ding p	recedent	in this	3 circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Appellant filed an untimely notice of appeal. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

The time periods for filing notices of appeal are governed by Fed. R. App. P. 4. These periods are "mandatory and jurisdictional." Browder v. Director, Dep't of Corrections, 434 U.S. 257, 264 (1978) (quoting United States v. Robinson, 361 U.S. 220, 229 (1960)). Parties to civil actions have thirty days within which to file in the district court notices of appeal from judgments or final orders. Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1). The only exceptions to the appeal period are when the district court extends the time to appeal under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5) or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6).

Appellant's failure to file a timely notice of appeal* or to obtain either an extension or a reopening of the appeal period leaves this court without jurisdiction to consider the merits of Appellant's appeal. We therefore dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED

^{*} For the purposes of this appeal we assume that the date Appellant wrote on the notice of appeal is the earliest date it would have been submitted to prison authorities. See Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988).